STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CAPITAL OUTLAY
BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP)
NARRATIVE PAGE (REV 06/15)

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814 IMS Mail Code: A15

BUSINESS UNIT: 4440 COBCP NO: 3 PRIORITY: 3 PROJECT ID: 0000718

**BUDGET YEAR 2016-17** 

(7 digits; for new projects, leave blank)

**DEPARTMENT:** Department of State Hospitals

PROJECT TITLE: Patton: Fire Alarm System Upgrade

TOTAL REQUEST (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS): \$554 MAJOR/MINOR: Major

PHASE(S) TO BE FUNDED: W PROJ CAT: FLS CCCI/EPI: 5262 / 6069

#### SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL:

DF-151 (Rev 06/15)

This fire/life/safety project is to remove and replace deficient SimplexGrinnell Fire Alarm Control Panels (FACP) and associated components in four patient occupied buildings at Patton State Hospital (PSH) which have reached the end of their usable life and are no longer serviceable. This project will enable PSH to bring the existing fire alarm systems into compliance with regulatory requirements.

The existing fire alarm systems are a safety hazard. The four buildings, 30, 70, U, and EB, included in this project house the majority of PSH's patients. These buildings also contain kitchens, dining rooms, medical and dental clinics, therapeutic areas, offices, and nursing stations for staff. Failure to address the fire alarm systems at PSH puts both patients and staff at risk should a fire occur and the notification alarm to evacuate fails.

HAS A BUDGET PACKAGE BEEN COMPLETED FOR THIS PROJECT? (E/U/N/?): N

REQUIRES LEGISLATION (Y/N): N IF YES, LIST CODE SECTIONS: \_\_

REQUIRES PROVISIONAL LANGUAGE (Y/N)	N	
IMPACT ON SUPPORT BUDGET: ONE-TIME	COSTS (Y/N): $\underline{N}$ FUTURE COSTS (Y/N): $\underline{N}$	4
FUTURE SAVINGS (Y/N): N REVENUE (Y	′/N): <u>N</u>	
DOES THE PROPOSAL AFFECT ANOTHER DOMMENTS OF AFFECTED DEPARTMENT S		
SIGNATURE APPROVALS:		
MA 12/40/15	CM	12.30.15
PREPARED BY DATE	REVIEWED BY	DATE
I Cleralin for 1/4/14	1-4-16	
DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR DATE	AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
**************	*************	******
	ANALYST USE	
DOF ISSUE # PROGRAM CAT: PR ADDED REVIEW: SUPPORT:OCIO:		
PPBA: Original Signed by: DATE SUBM	IITTED TO LEGISLATURE: January 7	2016

Page 1 of 6

BUSINESS UNIT: 4440 COBCP NO: 3 PRIORITY: 3 PROJECT ID: 0000718

(7 digits; for new projects, leave blank)

#### A. PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT:

Project Need:

The fire alarm systems in the four secured patient housing buildings and treatment areas (30, 70, U & EB) are severely compromised and not in compliance with regulatory requirements and customary industry standards.

The study provided by DGS concluded that the current fire alarm systems at PSH do not meet standards set by the State Fire Marshall (SFM), National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) codes, and Underwriters Laboratory (UL) standards for FACPs, nor do they meet requirements for I-2 and or I-3 occupancies. The systems are deficient as described in:

- California Code of Regulations, Title 19 (Flame Retardants) and Title 24 (Fire Code).
- NFPA Codes: 2007 NFPA 72 (National Fire Code) and 2006 NFPA 101 (Life Safety Code).
- 2003 UL 864 9<sup>th</sup> Ed. Standard for Control Units and Accessories for Fire Alarm Systems.

# **Project Description:**

The project will remove and replace four FACPs at the aforementioned buildings, with existing initiating and signaling devices being reused where possible. This is a scope reduction from the last authorized COBCP to begin preliminary plans on 7/1/2015. The original project scope encompassed five buildings instead of the current proposal of four. Upon completion, all of the buildings will have addressable devices which will provide hospital police with exact locations and room numbers of the initiating device, thereby allowing for a quicker emergency response to the exact area of need.

## A. RELATIONSHIP TO THE STRATEGIC PLAN:

DSH operates under two core ethics when making long term planning decisions.

#### **Departmental Mission:**

"Providing evaluation and treatment in a safe and responsible manner, seeking innovation and excellence in hospital operations, across a continuum of care and settings."

#### Departmental Goals:

"A safe environment; Responsible stewardship; Excellence in forensic evaluation and Excellence in treatment."

The departmental mission of providing evaluation and treatment in a safe and responsible manner and the departmental goal of providing a safe environment is jeopardized when the safety of patients and staff, and the continuity of services and operations of the Hospital is compromised by obsolete, technologically inferior and code non-compliant fire alarm systems. DSH has a responsibility and an obligation to ensure full compliance with all local, state and federal health, safety and security requirements, and it is critical that all PSH patients and staff operate in buildings that are equipped with functioning fire alarm systems.

#### **B. ALTERNATIVES:**

Alternative #1 – Partial Replacement Option

#### Pros:

- This alternative leads to regulatory compliance and would be the most fiscally responsible option.
- Following this alternative will permit continued communication and monitoring of existing SimplexGrinnell FACPs for patient treatment buildings outside the scope of the original study.
- This alternative is the least disruptive for ongoing services and operations for patient treatment.
- Standardization to one manufacturer results in operating and maintenance efficiencies.

## Cons:

 This alternative utilizes existing SimplexGrinnell proprietary equipment, requiring a noncompetitive bid.

Alternative #2 - Complete Replacement Option

#### Pros:

- This alternative allows the project to engage in competitive bidding and permits the use of multiple manufacturers' products.
- Buildings will be equipped with completely new fire alarm systems.

#### Cons:

- According to the budget study, the estimated cost is much higher than the SimplexGrinnell upgrade option.
- It will be necessary to maintain two systems simultaneously during construction which will impair the continuity of services, operations and treatment.
- A costly human fire watch will have to be maintained throughout the duration of construction.
- There are eight buildings that have functioning fire alarm systems that were not included in the original study. A complete replacement may result in those units not being able to be tied to a central reporting system.
- This option requires significant interior work, which can be disruptive to patients and staff.

Alternative #3 – Maintain Status Quo (Do Nothing)

#### Pros:

• The State will not expend funds for the project.

#### Cons:

- It is only a question of when system failure will occur, not if. It could be a slow deterioration or a catastrophic failure such as the loss of the multiple buildings in a short time span. The risk to patients and staff, and continuity of hospital services would be tremendous if the system failed and a fire occurred.
- Many of the initiating devices are non-addressable which delays first responders in locating the origin of the alarm.
- The fire alarm system has surpassed life expectancy and relies on outdated technology.
- Sourcing of spare parts for discontinued FACPs will become an even greater obstacle as time goes on. They are already difficult to maintain as is.
- This will have a negative impact on the support budget as maintenance must be contracted out creating delays in repair response time and a lack of replacement parts.

# C. RECOMMENDED SOLUTION:

1. Which alternative and why?

Alternative #1 - Partial Replacement Option

This option is the most cost effective and provides the necessary improvements with the least disruption of patient services. It addresses the regulatory deficiencies of the hospital, and the fire/life/safety concerns. Additionally, it permits PSH to continue monitoring the ancillary buildings that were not included in the original study. It also allows for expanding central monitoring, which means that additional buildings that may eventually need fire alarm replacements can be monitored.

2. Detail scope description.

For patient housing in Buildings 30, 70, U and EB:

- Replace existing FACPs with SimplexGrinnell 4100 ES FACPs; reconnect as many of the existing SimplexGrinnell addressable devices as practical.
- Provide protective anti-ligature guards for all smoke and heat detectors.
- Reuse working pull stations.
- Reuse existing SimplexGrinnell miniplex remote transponders as compatible.
- Reuse as many existing SimplexGrinnell addressable detectors as practical and add UL listed anti-ligature guards.
- Replace notification devices, chimes/strobes and add UL listed anti-ligature guards.
- Reuse LCD annunciator panels in nurse stations as compatible and add where needed.
- Provide interface with elevator controls for fire alarm car recall.
- Remove excess duct detectors according to NFPA and SFM code.
- U building will require all new devices to support the upgrade from non-addressable to addressable.
- The majority of EB will require all new devices to support the upgrade from non-addressable to addressable.

Explanation of Changes or Additions to the Scope:

PSH's N Building was originally part of the scope of the project. However, PSH began to notice that the fire alarm system in building N would sound and then erroneously shut off within one minute. This would lead the building occupants to believe that there was no issue and no reason to evacuate. Because of this complete failure of the FACP and the related safety concerns, the hospital was forced to procure a replacement system. Because of this, the N building has been removed from the scope of this project. Since the purchased replacement FACP was SimplexGrinnell, there will be no compatibility issues with the rest of the project.

- Basis for cost information.
   The Department of General Services (DGS) has provided a 3-page estimate dated, 01-05-2016, enclosed.
- 4. Factors/benefits for recommended solution other than the least expensive alternative.

Given the liability to the state for the injury or death of employees and patients, this is the least expensive alternative. Additionally, providing a safe environment is intrinsically part of the department's long term goals. Protecting employees and patients is of paramount importance to DSH.

- 5. Complete description of impact on support budget.
  Upgrading the deficient Simplex Grinnell fire alarm systems will decrease support spending on maintenance and fire watch. Additionally, having an operational and compliant system will reduce the risk of damage to property in the event of a fire.
- 6. Identify and explain any project risks. There are no known project risks.
- 7. List requested interdepartmental coordination and/or special project approval (including mandatory reviews and approvals, e.g. technology proposals).
  - Department of General Services
    - Project Management Branch
    - Division of the State Architect (DSA)
    - Environmental Unit (CEQA)
    - Due Diligence
    - o Department of Public Health
    - OSHPD Certification
  - State Fire Marshal

# D. CONSISTENCY WITH GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 65041.1:

- 1. Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure and how? Explain.
  - Yes. This project will ensure that a critical safety system is upgraded and made functional at several critical buildings.
- 2. Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources? Explain.
  - Yes. By replacing this system, the project will increase the longevity of use of these buildings, precluding the need to construct new patient housing.
- 3. Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth? Explain.
  - Yes. The replacement fire alarm systems will interface with existing units in other buildings and the system is expandable to accommodate other systems.

# DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES REAL ESTATE SERVICES DIVISION - PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT BRANCH PROJECT COST SUMMARY

PROJECT: LOCATION: Patton Partial Repair of Fire Alarm

CONCEPT ESTIMATE: C9DSH48BP

CUSTOMER:

Patton State Hospital

EST. / CURR'T. CCCI: 5262 / 6069

DESIGN BY:

Department of State Hospitals

DATE ESTIMATED: 1/5/2016 ABMS NO: 140697

PROJECT MGR:

TBD B. Boben

PREPARED BY:

140697 LL

TEMPLATE:

Design / Bid / Build

DOF PROJ. I.D. NO.:

0

#### **DESCRIPTION**

This project is a partial repair of the fire alarm system at Patton State Hospital toupgrade the system to current code by re-using and expanding the existing Simplex network. The work includes demolishing existing Fire Lite panel, re-use of existing Simplex panel and devices, extension of Simplex network, and new devices and new annuciator panels at nurse's station.

#### **ESTIMATE SUMMARY**

Building (30, 70, U & Ed Bernath)

\$2,600,900

ESTIMATED TOTAL CURRENT COSTS: August, 2009	\$2,600,900
Adjust CCCI From 5262 to 6069	\$398,900
ESTIMATED TOTAL CURRENT COSTS ON MAY 2015	\$2,999,800
Escalation to Start of Construction 32 Months @ 0.42% / Mo.: Escalation to Mid Point 12 Months @ 0.42% / Mo.:	\$403,200 \$151,200
ESTIMATED TOTAL CONTRACTS:	\$3,554,200
Contingency At: 7%	\$248,800
ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST:	\$3,803,000

# SUMMARY OF COSTS BY PHASE

PROJECT:

Patton Partial Repair of Fire Alarm

CONCEPT ESTIMATE:

C9DSH48BP

LOCATION:

Patton State Hospital

DATE ESTIMATED:

1/5/2016

ABMS #:

140697

CONSTRUCTION DURATION:

24 MONTHS

ESTIMATED CONTRACT:

\$3,554,200 \$3,554,200

CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY:

\$248,800

\$248,800

TOTAL:

\$3,803,000

\$3,803,000

CATEGORY	ACQUISITION STUDY 00	PRELIMINARY PLANS 01	WORKING DRAWINGS 02	CONSTRUCTION 03	TOTAL
ARCHITECTURAL AND					
ENGINEERING SERVICES				:	
A&E Design		\$358,400	\$200,000	\$150,800	\$709,200
Construction Inspection				\$517,000	\$517,000
Construction Inspection Travel				\$53,200	\$53,200
Builders Risk Insurance				\$35,500	\$35,500
Advertising, Printing and Mailing		\$0	\$19,200		\$19,200
Construction Guarantee Inspection	1			\$17,800	\$17,800
SUBTOTAL A&E SERVICES	\$0	\$358,400	\$219,200	\$774,300	\$1,351,900

					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
OTHER PROJECT COSTS					
Special Consultants		\$134,400	\$10,000	\$100,000	\$244,400
Materials Testing				\$52,000	\$52,000
Project/Construction Management		\$158,300	\$160,000	\$444,000	\$762,300
Contract Construction Management			\$50,000	\$175,000	\$225,000
Site Acquisition Cost & Fees					\$0
Agency Retained Items				\$500,000	\$500,000
SBE/DVBE Assessment				\$13,000	\$13,000
School Checking			\$0		\$0
Hospital Checking		\$23,000	\$81,000	\$40,000	\$144,000
Essential Services			\$0		\$0
Accessibility Checking			\$8,100	\$25,000	\$33,100
Environmental Document (Cat. Ex)		\$25,000			\$25,000
Due Diligence		\$10,000			\$10,000
Other Costs - (SFM)		\$13,000	\$19,000	\$145,000	\$177,000
Other Costs - (Permit/Reg. Fees)		,			\$0
Other Costs - (ARF Assessment)	\$0	\$8,900	\$6,700	\$68,700	\$84,300
SUBTOTAL OTHER PROJECT COSTS		\$372,600	\$334,800	\$1,562,700	\$2,270,100

TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	\$0	\$731,000	\$554,000	\$6,140,000	\$7,425,000
LESS FUNDS TRANSFERRED	\$0	\$731,000	\$0	\$0	\$731,000
LESS FUNDS AVAILABLE NOT TRANSFERRED	\$0	\$0	\$0 <sup>-</sup>	\$0	\$0
CARRY OVER	\$0	, \$0	\$0	\$554,000	
BALANCE OF FUNDS REQUIRED	\$0	\$0	\$554,000	\$6,694,000	\$6,694,000

#### **FUNDING DATA & ESTIMATE NOTES**

PROJECT: LOCATION: Patton Partial Repair of Fire Alarm

Patton State Hospital

ABMS#:

Patton State Hospit 140697 CONCEPT ESTIMATE:

DATE ESTIMATED:

C9DSH48BP 1/5/2016

**FUNDING DATA** 

Chapter / Item	<u>Phase</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Totals</u>
Fund Transfers			
2015/0010 - 4440 - 301 - 0001 (6)	Р	\$731,000	
0	0	<b>\$</b> O	
0	0	<b>\$</b> O	
0	0	<b>\$</b> O	
0	0	<b>\$</b> O	
0	0	<b>\$</b> O	
0	0	\$O	
0	0	<b>\$</b> O	
Total Funds Transferred		<del></del>	\$731,000
Funds Available Not Transferred			
N/A	0	<b>\$</b> O	
0	0	\$0	
0	0	<b>\$</b> O	
0	0	<b>\$</b> O	
Total Funds Available not Transferred		_	\$0
Total Funds Transferred and Available		=	\$731,000

## **ESTIMATE NOTES**

- 1. The construction costs in this estimate are indexed from the CCCI Index as of the date of estimate preparation to the CCCI index that is current as of MAY 1, 2015. The project estimate is then escalated for a 12 month period to an assumed construction midpoint. Additionally, the project has been escalated to the assumed start of construction.
- 2. RESD has not verified Agency retained pricing.
- 3. Special Consultant costs include hazardous materials and fire protection.
- 4. Contract Construction Management to support construction phasing in occupied spaces.
- 5. Assumes PP in FY 15/16, WD in FY 16/17, and C in FY 17/18.

6. 0

7. 0

8. 0

9. 0

10. 0

STATE OF CALIFORNIA CAPITAL OUTLAY BUDGET C	HANGE PROPO	SAL (COBC	2)			A Proj ID: 1	0000718	Year 2016-17
FISCAL IMPACT WORKSHEET Department Title:	Department of St	inte Manaitale				Acc.	BU/Entity:	4440
Project Title:			rado				Progarm ID COBCP#	4395
	ire Life Safety (		grade				_COBCP# Priority	3
Program Subcategory:	ile Lile Salety (			-			MA/Mi:	MA
/ togram sancategory		Existing *	January 10	April 1	May 1	May 14	Special 4	WA
	Tar.			Action				Project Total
FUNDING bu-ref-fund-eny-year	ph action							
4440-301-0001-15-15 F		731						731
4440-301-0001-16-16 V			554					554
4440-301-0001-17-17 C	BA BA		6,140		!		<u> </u>	6,140
								1
: 								1
		<u> </u>						0
							:	0
				1				0 :: 5
								0
				! :	·		·	. 0
								0
			·	<u> </u>			-	0
TOTAL FUNDING		731	6,694	. 0	The state of the s	0 0	) 	7.425
PROJECT COST Study	S				!			0
Acquisition	e de la companya de					<u> </u>		0
Performance Criteria				!	<del>:</del>			0
Preliminary Plans		731		İ	İ			731
Working Drawings		Awar Trade 17 April 2000 Sept Company	554	į.	AND ROLLINGS OF THE		Supplementary or makes and	554
Total Construction or Design-Bui	ld .		6,140	. 0		0 (	) (	6,140
Equipment (Group 2)		704	C COA		one Softman	A 2000 000 000 0	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	7.405
TOTAL COSTS		731	0,094	<u> </u>	1.00	0	)	7,425
CONSTRUCTION ( DESIGN-BUILD DET	"你们,我们就是你的我们的我们的,不是在是他们的		0.554	,	:			0
Contract Contingency			3,554 249			:	:	3,554 249
A&E			774	+			†	774
Agency Retained					Ī	· <del>- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</del>		
Other			1,563				İ	1,563
TOTAL CONSTRUCTO DESIGN-BUILD	action (alternation)	6,140	44, 41	AMA ATES		0 (	) (	6,140
FUTURE FUNDIN		o de la composição de la composição de la composição de la composição de la composição de la composição de la c	1988	<i>Sale-1072</i> 0	- Liver Miller (1986)	0 =	) - : : : : : (	) <b>*</b> ***********************************
and the second s	en el dissolvado en l'estalla	ا او چېرونو کا د پارې د دارې و د او د او د او د او د او د او د او د				e desire de la regionale	parties and the second	
SCHEDULE		mm/dd/yyyy	r brak	Р	ROJECT S	SPECIFIC COD	ES	
Study Completion		8/31/2009		Proj Mgmt:	, Y	Location:	DSH-Patton	
Acquisition Approval		•		Budg Pack:	Υ	County:	San Bernardin	0
Start Preliminary Plans/Performa				Proj Cat	FLS	City:	Highlands	
Preliminary Plan/Performance Co	riteria Approval	9/9/2016		Req Legis:	N	Cong Dist:	11	
Approval to Proceed to Bid		7/25/2017		Req Prov:	N	Sen Dist	31	_!
Contract Award Approval	The second secon	12/12/2017		SO/LA Imp:	N	Assm Dist:	59	_
Project Completion		2/10/2020						<u> </u>

STATE OF CALIFORNIA CAPITAL OUTLAY BUDGE	T CHANGE PROPOSA	IL (COBCP)			Proj ID:	0000718	t Year 2016-1
ISCAL DETAIL WORKSH						BU/Entity:	4440
Department Title:	Department of State					Progarm ID	4395
Project Title:	Patton: Fire Alarm					COBCP#	3
Program Category:	Fire Life Safety (FLS	3)				Priority:	3
Program Subcategory:			or a defendable	Controller A. L. W. St. St.	C. 15 (4) (8) (8) (8) (8)	MAMI:	MA
dentify all items which fit int summary estimates for items hrough BY+4).	o the categones listed to s for which you plan to I	elow. Attach a di equest funding in	Maileo list the future.	When possible,	ed in this requ dentify fundin	g needs by fisca	ai year (BY+1
PRO	JECT RELATED COST	S	1-1-1-1	7 (44)		cost	TOTAL
AGENCY RETAINED:			Organisa (September 1994) 1986 - Paris Mariellone				
				TOTAL AC	SENCY RETA	INED	
GROUP 2 EQUIPMENT						A. Jakan	
Tung kalang Tilang kanggalang Tilang Kanggalang Tilang Kanggalang Tilang Kanggalang Tilang Kanggalang Tilang K	7,44						-
							-
							$\dashv$
				<del></del>			1.
							]
		N. N. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C.	. Republic	TOTAL GE	ROUP2 EQUIF	PMENT	
				IOTALG	(OUI E LQUII		
IMPAC	T ON SUPPORT BUDG	<b>SET</b>				COST	TOTAL
ONE-TIME COSTS			indian 8				
							$\dashv$
							$\dashv$
	AART ARRON VIII VII VA			TOTAL SUPP	ORT ONE-TI	ME COSTS	4) NGT 11 NEW
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTU							
JIMOUL ONGOING LOID		, (12.15 - 1.15.24.112). 	ર પ્રત્યોગી ઉદ્યાની	ng garan in ngapawasa .	and make 1 Tee		
				TOTAL OUR	OOT ANNUIA	L COCTE	# 1545 S 1561
				TOTAL SUP	OK I ANNUA	24 1 1 3 4 1 1	
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTU	RE SAVINGS				THE PARTY OF STREET		
					-		
			j. Dejar	TOTAL SUPF	ORT ANNUA	L SAVINGS	
		30 .45			- 15 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Out to the second of the secon	
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTU	IRE REVENUE						
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTU	IRE REVENUE	ujasi					-
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTU	IRE REVENUE	UAAC UAND					

STATE OF CALIFORNIA		Budget '	Year 2016-17
CAPITAL OUTLAY BUDGET	CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP) Proj ID: 0	000718	300
SCOPE/ASSUMPTIONS WO	RKSHEET	J/Entity:	4440
Department Title:	Department of State Hospitals	rogarm ID	4395
Project Title:	Patton: Fire Alarm System Upgrade	OBCP#	3
Program Category:	Fire Life Safety (FLS)	riority:	3
Program Subcategory:	, w	A/Mi:	MA
Every Cold Cold Cold Cold Cold Cold Cold Cold	A Control of the Cont		STORY MANAGEMENT AND RESIDENCE OF THE STORY

Project Specific Proposals: For new projects provide proposed Scope language. For continuing projects provide the latest approved Scope language. Enter Scope language in cell A110.

Conceptual Proposals: Provide a brief discussion of proposal defining assumptions supporting the level of funding proposed by fiscal year in relation to outstanding need identified for that fiscal year. (Also include scope descriptions for BY+1 through BY+4 in cell A110).

This fire/life/safety project is to remove and replace deficient SimplexGrinnell Fire Alarm Control Panels (FACP) and associated components in four patient occupied buildings at Patton State Hospital (PSH) which have reached the end of their usable life and are no longer serviceable. This project will enable PSH to bring the existing Fire Alarm Systems into compliance with regulatory requirements.

The existing Fire Alarm Systems are a safety hazard. The four buildings, 30, 70, U, and EB, included in this project house the majority of PSH's patients. These buildings also contain kitchens, dining rooms, medical and dental clinics, therapeutic areas, offices and nursing stations for staff. Failure to address the Fire Alarm Systems at PSH puts both patients and staff at risk should a fire occur and the notification alarm to evacuate fails.